RECEIVED *LEGISLATIVE AUDITOR

2003 JUL -2 PM 2: 42

JEFFERSON HOUSING FOUNDATION

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS TOGETHER WITH INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2002

Under provisions of state law, this report is a public document. A copy of the report has been submitted to the entity and other appropriate public officials. The report is available for public inspection at the Baton Fouga office of the Legislative Auditor and, where appropriate, at the office of the parish clerk of court.

Release Date 8 27 03

JEFFERSON HOUSING FOUNDATION, INC. TABLE OF CONTENTS

Independent Auditor's Report	1-2
Statement of Financial Position	3
Statement of Activities	4
Statement of Cash Flows	5
Notes to the Financial Statements	6-17
Statement of Functional Expenses	18-20
Schedule of Federal Awards	21
Report on Compliance and Internal Control Over Financial Reporting Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With Government Auditing Standards	22-23
Report on Compliance With Requirements Applicable To Each Major Program and on Internal Control Over Compliance In Accordance With OMB Circular A-133	24-25
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	26-30
Status of Prior Year Findings	31
Management Responses	



2017 CANAL STREET, SUITE 103 NEW ORLEANS, LOUISIANA 70119 OFFICE (504) 587-9000 • FAX (504) 587-9040

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

To the Board of Directors of Jefferson Housing Foundation, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying statement of financial position of Jefferson Housing Foundation, Inc. (a nonprofit organization) as of December 31, 2002, and the related statements of activities and cash flows for the year then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Foundation's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

Except as discussed in the following paragraphs, we conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As described in the accompanying notes to the financial statements, the Foundation's investment in LePlace of Jefferson, A Louisiana Partnership in Commendam (LePlace), is recorded at \$2,637,360. This recorded amount is based upon a prior year appraised value of the underlying assets of LePlace. An updated appraisal was not performed as of December 31, 2002. This valuation is significantly less than the historical costs associated with that asset. In addition, LePlace did not have an independent audit performed or other assessment of its financial position as of December 31, 2002, accordingly we were unable to determine if Jefferson Housing Foundation's investment in LePlace was fairly stated as of December 31, 2002.

A fund balance adjustment totaling \$161,207 was reported in the statement of activities. This adjustment was not adequately supported by source documentation, accordingly we were unable to satisfy ourselves regarding the fairness of the balance as of December 31, 2002.

In our opinion, except for the effects of such adjustments, if any, as might have been determined to be necessary had audited financial statements been available regarding the agency's investment in the related entity, and had adequate supporting information been available regarding the fund balance adjustment, the financial statements referred to in the first paragraph present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Jefferson Housing Foundation, Inc. as of December 31, 2002, and the changes in its net assets and its cash flows for the year then ended in conformity with accounting

principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, we have also issued our report dated June 25, 2003, on our consideration of Jefferson Housing Foundation, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting and our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants.

Our audit was performed for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements of Jefferson Housing Foundation, Inc. taken as a whole. The schedule of functional expenses is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the financial statements of the Foundation. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by U.S. Office of Management and Budget Circular A-133, "Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations," and is also not a required part of the financial statements of the Foundation. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and, in our opinion, is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

Curtis A. Moret, CPA

Centes A. More D

June 25, 2003

Jefferson Housing Foundation, Inc. Statement of Financial Position December 31, 2002

ASSETS

Current Assets	
Cash Grants Receivable Due From Related Entities	\$ 9,398 41,733 6,196
Total Current Assets	57,327
Fixed Assets	
Property, Plant and Equipment (Net)	10,348
Other Assets	
Real Property Held for Development Investment in LePlace of Jefferson, A Louisiana Partnership in Commendam (LePlace) Investment in Scottsdale Investment in Beechgrove Redevelopment, LLC Deposit	126,978 2,637,360 250,000 7,820,119 20,000
Total Other Assets	10,854,457
TOTAL ASSETS	10,922,132
LIABILITIES AND NET ASSETS	
Current Liabilities	
Accounts Payable and Accrued Liabilities Loans Payable Due to CHDO Funding Source and Lenders Total Current Liabilities/Total Liabilities	125,047 157,622 116,414 399,083
Net Assets:	
Unrestricted Net Assets: Operating Fixed Assets Total Unrestricted Net Assets	297,150 10,348 307,498
Temporarily Restricted Net Assets Total Net Assets	10,215,551 10,523,049
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND NET ASSETS	\$ 10,922,132

Jefferson Housing Foundation, Inc. Statement of Activities For the Year Ended December 31, 2002

	Unr	estricted		porarily tricted		Total
Public Support, Revenues, and Reclassifications						
Contributions	\$	17,700	\$	-	\$	17,700
Interest		2,066		-		2,066
Local Municipal Government Grants		-		85,000		85,000
Community Development Block Grants		-		152,880		152,880
Home Revitalization Grants		•		271,097		271,097
Fees/Services		138,944		-		138,944
Insurance Settlement		52,143		-		52,143
Miscellaneous		4,505		-		4,505
Net Assets Released From Restrictions				· ·		
Satisfaction of Purpose Restrictions		478,239	+	(478,239)		-
Total Public Support, Revenues, and Reclassifications		693,597		30,738		724,335
Expenses						
Programs:						
Grant Programs:						
Jefferson Parish		36,063		-		36,063
Community Development Block Grants		167,221		•		167,221
Home Revitalization		261,463		-		261,463
Beechgrove		23,052				23,052
LePlace of Jefferson		3,702				3,702
Total Grant Programs		491,501		-		491,501
Administration		158,131				158,131
Total Expenses		649,632		<u>-</u>		649,632
Change in Net Assets		43,965		30,738		74,703
Net Assets as of Beginning of Year		112,930	10	,174,209	1	0,287,139
Net Assets, Other Adjustments		150,603		10,604		161,207
Net Assets as of End of Year	\$	307,498	\$ 1 0	,215,551	\$1	0,523,049

Jefferson Housing Foundation, Inc. Statement of Cash Flows For the Year Ended December 31, 2002

Operating Activities

Depreciation 3,498 Grants Receivable (11,560) Due From Related Entities (3,347) Property Held for Development 640,991 Deposits (20,000) Accounts Payable and Accrued Liabilities (7,217) Prior Period Adjustment 159,700 Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities 836,768 Investing Activities (7,175) Investment in Beechgrove (2,000) Net Cash Used in Investing Activities (9,175) Financing Activities (831,575) Repayments on Notes Payable (831,575) New Borrowings 4,000 Net Cash Used in Financing Activities (827,575) Net Increase in Cash and Cash Equivalents 18 Cash and Cash Equivalents as of Beginning of Year 9,380 Cash and Cash Equivalents as of End of Year \$ 9,398	Change in Net Assets Adjustments to Reconcile Change in Net Assets to Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities:	\$	74,703
Grants Receivable (11,560) Due From Related Entities (3,347) Property Held for Development 640,991 Deposits (20,000) Accounts Payable and Accrued Liabilities (7,217) Prior Period Adjustment 159,700 Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities 836,768 Investing Activities (7,175) Purchase of Equipment (7,175) Investment in Beechgrove (2,000) Net Cash Used in Investing Activities (9,175) Financing Activities (831,575) New Borrowings 4,000 Net Cash Used in Financing Activities (827,575) Net Increase in Cash and Cash Equivalents 18 Cash and Cash Equivalents as of Beginning of Year 9,380	• • •		3,498
Due From Related Entities (3,347) Property Held for Development 640,991 Deposits (20,000) Accounts Payable and Accrued Liabilities (7,217) Prior Period Adjustment 159,700 Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities 836,768 Investing Activities (7,175) Investment in Beechgrove (2,000) Net Cash Used in Investing Activities (9,175) Financing Activities (831,575) New Borrowings 4,000 Net Cash Used in Financing Activities (827,575) Net Increase in Cash and Cash Equivalents 18 Cash and Cash Equivalents as of Beginning of Year 9,380	·		•
Deposits (20,000) Accounts Payable and Accrued Liabilities (7,217) Prior Period Adjustment 159,700 Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities 836,768 Investing Activities (7,175) Purchase of Equipment (7,175) Investment in Beechgrove (2,000) Net Cash Used in Investing Activities (9,175) Financing Activities (831,575) New Borrowings 4,000 Net Cash Used in Financing Activities (827,575) Net Increase in Cash and Cash Equivalents 18 Cash and Cash Equivalents as of Beginning of Year 9,380	Due From Related Entities		•
Accounts Payable and Accrued Liabilities (7,217) Prior Period Adjustment 159,700 Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities 836,768 Investing Activities Purchase of Equipment (7,175) Investment in Beechgrove (2,000) Net Cash Used in Investing Activities (9,175) Financing Activities Repayments on Notes Payable (831,575) New Borrowings (827,575) Net Cash Used in Financing Activities (827,575) Net Increase in Cash and Cash Equivalents 18 Cash and Cash Equivalents as of Beginning of Year 9,380	Property Held for Development		640,991
Prior Period Adjustment 159,700 Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities 836,768 Investing Activities Purchase of Equipment (7,175) Investment in Beechgrove (2,000) Net Cash Used in Investing Activities (9,175) Financing Activities Repayments on Notes Payable (831,575) New Borrowings (827,575) Net Cash Used in Financing Activities (827,575) Net Increase in Cash and Cash Equivalents 18 Cash and Cash Equivalents as of Beginning of Year 9,380	Deposits		(20,000)
Investing Activities Purchase of Equipment (7,175) Investment in Beechgrove (2,000) Net Cash Used in Investing Activities (9,175) Financing Activities Repayments on Notes Payable (831,575) New Borrowings (827,575) Net Cash Used in Financing Activities (827,575) Net Increase in Cash and Cash Equivalents 18 Cash and Cash Equivalents as of Beginning of Year 9,380	Accounts Payable and Accrued Liabilities		(7,217)
Investing Activities Purchase of Equipment (7,175) Investment in Beechgrove (2,000) Net Cash Used in Investing Activities (9,175) Financing Activities Repayments on Notes Payable (831,575) New Borrowings (827,575) Net Cash Used in Financing Activities (827,575) Net Increase in Cash and Cash Equivalents 18 Cash and Cash Equivalents as of Beginning of Year 9,380	Prior Period Adjustment		159,700
Purchase of Equipment (7,175) Investment in Beechgrove (2,000) Net Cash Used in Investing Activities (9,175) Financing Activities Repayments on Notes Payable (831,575) New Borrowings 4,000 Net Cash Used in Financing Activities (827,575) Net Increase in Cash and Cash Equivalents 18 Cash and Cash Equivalents as of Beginning of Year 9,380	Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities		836,768
Investment in Beechgrove (2,000) Net Cash Used in Investing Activities (9,175) Financing Activities Repayments on Notes Payable (831,575) New Borrowings 4,000 Net Cash Used in Financing Activities (827,575) Net Increase in Cash and Cash Equivalents 18 Cash and Cash Equivalents as of Beginning of Year 9,380	Investing Activities		
Investment in Beechgrove (2,000) Net Cash Used in Investing Activities (9,175) Financing Activities Repayments on Notes Payable (831,575) New Borrowings 4,000 Net Cash Used in Financing Activities (827,575) Net Increase in Cash and Cash Equivalents 18 Cash and Cash Equivalents as of Beginning of Year 9,380	Purchase of Equipment		(7,175)
Financing Activities Repayments on Notes Payable (831,575) New Borrowings 4,000 Net Cash Used in Financing Activities (827,575) Net Increase in Cash and Cash Equivalents 18 Cash and Cash Equivalents as of Beginning of Year 9,380	· <i>,</i>		
Repayments on Notes Payable New Borrowings Net Cash Used in Financing Activities Net Increase in Cash and Cash Equivalents Cash and Cash Equivalents as of Beginning of Year (831,575) (827,575) 18	Net Cash Used in Investing Activities		(9,175)
New Borrowings Net Cash Used in Financing Activities Net Increase in Cash and Cash Equivalents 18 Cash and Cash Equivalents as of Beginning of Year 9,380	Financing Activities		
New Borrowings Net Cash Used in Financing Activities Net Increase in Cash and Cash Equivalents 18 Cash and Cash Equivalents as of Beginning of Year 9,380	Repayments on Notes Payable		(831.575)
Net Cash Used in Financing Activities (827,575) Net Increase in Cash and Cash Equivalents 18 Cash and Cash Equivalents as of Beginning of Year 9,380			•
Net Increase in Cash and Cash Equivalents Cash and Cash Equivalents as of Beginning of Year 9,380		***************************************	
Cash and Cash Equivalents as of Beginning of Year9,380_	Net Cash Osed in Financing Activities		(027,373)
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Net Increase in Cash and Cash Equivalents		18
Cash and Cash Equivalents as of End of Year \$ 9,398	Cash and Cash Equivalents as of Beginning of Year		9,380
	Cash and Cash Equivalents as of End of Year	\$	9,398

NOTE 1 - <u>BACKGROUND AND GENERAL INFORMATION</u>

Background

Jefferson Housing Foundation (JHF) is a non-profit Corporation organized under the laws of the Sate of Louisiana. The foundation exists to provide affordable housing opportunities and stimulate economic development within Jefferson Parish. Specifically, JHF strives to provide educational training to inform prospective clients of the rights and responsibilities of home ownership, to actively identify and participate in community efforts in distressed neighborhoods; and to provide business fundamentals and the technical assistance to economically disadvantaged individuals who desire to become entrepreneurs.

General

As of December 31, 2002, the Foundation administered the following activities:

- General Fund The General Fund is used to account for unrestricted operations of the Foundation.
- Homeownersip This grant is used to account for the administration of counseling and training services provided to potential low-to moderateincome home buyers.
- Business Development Grant This grant is used in conjunction with a federal grant to empower potential entrepreneurs that are among the underrepresented population of Jefferson Parish with the information necessary to start and successfully maintain a business.
- Lincolnshire Community Services Grant This grant is used to help low-to moderate-income families become homeowners. The grant funds are also used for costs associated with the Lincolnshire Revitalization Project.
- Scottsdale/CHDO Administration This grant is used to defray the costs associated with professional service fees and other Foundation administrative costs.
- CHDO Revitalization Effort This grant provides affordable housing activities in the Bunch Village/Little Farms, Lincolnshire, Harvey, Bridge City, and Shrewsbury areas.

NOTE 2 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Principles of Accounting

The financial statements of each of the Foundation's funds and the supplementary schedules are prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and are prepared on the accrual basis of accounting.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Financial Statement Presentation

The Foundation has adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 117, Financial Statements of Not-for-Profit Organizations. Under SFAS No. 117, the Foundation is required to report information regarding its financial position and activities according to three classes of net assets: unrestricted net assets, temporarily restricted net assets, and permanently restricted net assets. As permitted by the statement, the Foundation does not use fund accounting.

Furniture, Equipment and Leasehold Improvements

Furniture, equipment and leasehold improvements of the Foundation are recorded as assets and are stated at historical costs, if purchased, or at fair market value at the date of the gift, if donated. Additions and improvements are capitalized expenditures that significantly extend the useful life of an asset. Depreciation is provided using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets as follows:

Furniture and Equipment Leasehold Improvements

3 - 5 years Life of the lease

Due to Funding Sources

This amount represents amounts received from grantors that were used towards acquisition of and re-development of various properties. Amounts scheduled to be repaid to the grantors upon ultimate sale of the properties are reflected in this

NOTE 2 - <u>SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)</u>

category.

Inter-fund Activity

All inter-fund activities have been recorded as due to or due from other programs and represent any loans to or expenses paid by one program on behalf of another.

Grants Receivable

The foundation considers grant receivables to be fully collectible since the balance consists principally of payments due under governmental contracts.

NOTE 3 - CONTRIBUTION

Contributions consist of unrestricted cash donations made to the Foundation to provide support to the operations of the Foundation as well as to fund specific projects as designated by the donor or the Board of Directors.

NOTE 4 - INCOME TAXES

The Foundation is exempt from corporate income taxes under section 501(C) (3) of the Internal Revenue Code.

NOTE 5 - <u>INVESTMENT IN RELATED ENTITIES</u> (HISTORICAL PERSPECTIVE)

On the advice of legal counsel, Jefferson Housing Foundation (JHF) established and/or became associated with (3) three "For-Profit-Entities" for the purpose of rehabilitating the Jefferson Place Apartments and to insulate JHF from any legal liability that may arise in connection with the property rehabilitation.

Consequently, in 1997 JHF and Jefferson Place Development, Inc., became members of a Limited Liability Company called LePlace Housing Foundation. The JHF has a 99% ownership interest in the LePlace Housing Foundation, L.L.C.

On January 30, 1997, the Parish of Jefferson acquired the Jefferson Place Apartments (the Property) for the price of \$1.00 by Act of Sale from the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) and donated the property to JHF. On

NOTE 5 - <u>INVESTMENT IN RELATED ENTITIES</u> (HISTORICAL PERSPECTIVE) (CONTINUED)

November 6, 1998, JHF donated this property to LePlace of Jefferson.

In 1998, the LePlace Housing Foundation established a Partnership (LePlace of Jefferson) in Commendam with Frank H. Gilberti, L.L.C., and Michael H. O'Keefe, Jr., and individual. LePlace Housing Foundation has an 80% ownership interest in LePlace of Jefferson.

In determining the investment value in prior years, management considered the following factors:

- Structural Depreciation
- Market Value of Donated Property
- Capitalized Cost
- Ownership Interest

Structural Depreciation - management estimated the physical deterioration for the (2) two years preceding the donation ranged from \$200,000 to \$250,000.

Market Value - the carrying value of the property at the date of transfer, November 20, 1998, was \$907,142. In 1996 and 1997 the "AS IS" appraisals were \$850K and \$800K respectively. At the date to transfer, no "AS IS" appraisal was performed. However, management estimated that the market value of the Property at December 31, 1998 was at least \$1.2M based on the fact that the insurance coverage for the "Existing Structure" alone was \$1.2M which management believed was an approximation of replacement cost. Given these factors, management believed the carrying value of \$907,122 was reasonable.

Capitalized Cost - Closing cost attributable to the donation of the property totaled \$1.4M. Management determined that approximately \$1.1M of that cost would be capitalized by LePlace of Jefferson.

These costs consist of legal and non-construction related consulting and administrative costs. It was management's belief that these costs did not enhance the physical structure of the property. Additionally, the recovery of the cost would only

NOTE 5 - <u>INVESTMENT IN RELATED ENTITIES</u> (HISTORICAL PERSPECTIVE) (CONTINUED)

occur and/or be dependent on a subsequent sale of the property. Thus, management elected to provide a valuation reserve of \$1.1M at December 31, 1998.

Ownership Structure - JHF has no direct equity ownership interest in LePlace of Jefferson. However, as JHF has a 99% ownership interest in the LePlace Housing Foundation, which has an 80% ownership in LePlace of Jefferson, thus an indirect ownership in LePlace of Jefferson can be inferred. Thus, the valuation factors referred to would be relevant in any valuation scenario.

Net Book Value of the For-Profit-Entities - the investment value should represent the Net Book Value of the entity adjusted by the ownership interest at December 31, 1998. Since the For-Profit-Entities were only engaged in financial activity associated with the donated property, it can be assumed that the Net Book Value of these entities was at or nearly equal to the Market Value of the donated property plus any capitalizable cost.

Management estimated the Net Book Value of LePlace of Jefferson and LePlace Housing Foundation at December 31, 1998 to be \$2.5M and \$2.0M respectively and that gross investment value of JHF's interest in LePlace Housing Foundation was \$1,980,000, which was calculated as follows:

Estimated Net Book Value of LePlace of Jefferson	\$2,500,000
Ownership interest of LePlace Housing Foundation	80%
Estimated Equity interest of LePlace Housing Foundation	2,000,000
Ownership interest	<u>99%</u>
Estimated Equity interest of JHF	<u>\$1,980,000</u>

After consideration of the ownership structure and the related valuation factors previously discussed, management determined that its Net Investment in LePlace Housing Foundation at December 31, 1998 was \$576,000, as outlined below:

Estimated equity interest of JHF	\$1,980,000
Structural depreciation allowance	(250,000)
Capitalizable cost allowance	(1,100,000)
Market adjustments	(54,000)
	<u>\$ 576,000</u>

NOTE 5 - <u>INVESTMENT IN RELATED ENTITIES</u> (HISTORIC PERSPECTIVE) (CONTINUED)

PRIOR YEAR CARRYING VALUE

In prior years, JHF received an "upfront grant" award from HUD in the amount of \$3.4 million specifically to rehabilitate the Jefferson Place Apartments. During 1999, a total of \$1,523,476 was funded by HUD to JHF and in turn was transferred via assignment by JHF to the LePlace of Jefferson for rehabilitation of Jefferson Apartments. The foundation capitalized these costs to its investment in LePlace Housing Foundation as follows:

HUD "upfront" grant finding (12/31/99	<u>\$1,523,476</u>
(Discounted for JHF's interest in Jefferson Place Apartments	(316,883)
Net Increase in Asset Value	1,206,593
Investment in the LePlace Housing Foundation @ 12/31/98	<u>576,000</u>
Investment in the LePlace Housing Foundation @ 12/31/99	<u>\$1,782,593</u>

During the period January 1, 2000 through December 31, 2000, significant improvements were made to the subject property via additional HUD upfront grant payments and debt injections from local banks. As of December 31, 2000, the total accrued costs incurred at the property totaled \$6,354,462. However, on September 30, 2000, an appraisal was completed and rendered a prospective market value of \$3,330,000. However, consistent with generally accepted accounting principles, the

Foundation's investment in LePlace of Jefferson is recorded at the Lower of Cost or Market Value. Therefore, the Foundation's investment in LePlace Housing Foundation, LLC is recorded at \$2,637,360 as calculated below:

Appraised Value	\$ 3,330,000
Ownership interest of LePlace Housing Foundation	80%
Estimated Equity interest of LePlace Housing Foundation	2,664,000
Ownership interest of JHF	<u>99%</u>
Estimated Equity interest of JHF	<u>\$ 2,637,360</u>

NOTE 5 - <u>INVESTMENT IN RELATED ENTITIES</u> (HISTORIC PERSPECTIVE) (CONTINUED)

The costs in excess of market are presumed to be recorded on the books of the LePlace of Jefferson, LLC and presumably have been marked down consistent with generally accepted accounting principles.

INCREASE IN ASSET VALUE (NET ASSET ADJUSTMENT)

JHF recorded the amounts received by HUD related to the Upfront grant, however, the construction-in-progress costs funded via other financing sources was not recorded on the Foundation's books. Management has indicated its intention to record the complete project costs on its books upon final project completion and acceptance. The fund balance adjustment results from the Foundation's method of recording its investment in LePlace Housing Foundation and the underlying asset.

JEFFERSON PLACE APARTMENTS (SUBSEQUENT REVIEW)

Jefferson Housing Foundation assigned its HUD Upfront Grant in the amount of \$3.4 million to LePlace of Jefferson, LLC., during 1998 for purposes of re-development of the Jefferson Place Apartments. The project was initially projected to be completed 24 months from grant award. The project has experienced substantial delays due to various financial and construction matters. In addition, unresolved disputes presently exist between LePlace of Jefferson, LLC and the general contractor related to the project.

Although, the HUD Upfront grant provided for the grant assignment, the grant required Jefferson Housing Foundation to remain ultimately responsible for the project compliance. The grant also requires an independent audit be performed upon project completion. Our subsequent review work indicated that a date for final project completion, HUD review and acceptance and closing for permanent financing had not been determined. Accordingly, an independent audit for the project had not been completed. Although, Jefferson Housing Foundation has performed various review and oversight procedures, the aforementioned delays have yet occurred. Accordingly, a final determination of compliance and project acceptance matters will not be determined until project closeout and final audit.

NOTE 6 - PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT

As of December 31, 2002 fixed assets consisted of:

Equipment	\$20,084
Furniture & Fixtures	13,345
Accumulated Depreciation	<u>(23,081</u>)

Total <u>\$10,348</u>

NOTE 7 - LOANS PAYABLE

As of December 31, 2002, the Foundation had the following loans payable:

Citywide Mortgage	\$ 45,000
Dryades - LOC	30,853
Iberia - LOC	44,941
Other	<u>36,828</u>

Total \$157,622

NOTE 8 - <u>DUE TO CHDO FUNDING SOURCES AND LENDERS</u>

The Foundation has a contract with the CHDO affordable housing program through Jefferson Parish to acquire and rehabilitate homes for the purpose of providing housing to low income residents. Through this program funds are made available to the agency for purchase of property and/or securing financing for the purchase of property and renovations. After project completion and upon sale of the newly constructed or renovated home, certain funds are required to be paid to the CHDO funding source as well as other lenders involved in the project. There are approximately \$116,414 in such funds that are due from the Foundation as homes are completed and sold.

NOTE 9 - RELATED PARTIES

The following entities are related parties:

- LePlace of Jefferson, A Louisiana Partnership in Commendam
- LePlace Housing Foundation, L.L.C.
- Jefferson Place Development, Inc.

NOTE 9 - RELATED PARTIES (CONTINUED)

LePlace of Jefferson, A Louisiana Partnership in Commendam was formed on October 27, 1998, with the following owners:

General Partner: LePlace Housing Foundation, L.L.C. 80% Limited Partners: Michael H. O'Keefe, Jr. 10% Frank Gilberti 10%

LePlace Housing Foundation L.L.C. was formed on October 20, 1997, with the following members:

Member A: Jefferson Housing Foundation 99% Member B: Jefferson Place Development, Inc. 1%

Jefferson Place Development, Inc. was formed on January 3, 1997. The entity is a holding company, which is controlled by Jefferson Housing Foundation.

NOTE 10 - LOAN GUARANTOR

As per the development agreement, the Foundation agrees that it all convey to LePlace of Jefferson, (the "Partnership") fee simple title to the Land (on which Jefferson Place Apartments is located), with all improvements and personality located thereon, no later than the closing to the construction financing for the redevelopment, which conveyance shall be subject to all liens and encumbrances on the Land, including, but not limited to, that certain line of credit indebtedness owed by the Foundation to Hibernia National Bank which is secured by the Land. All liens and encumbrances on the Land shall be paid from the construction financing. The sole consideration that the Foundation will receive for the transfer of the Land and appurtenances will be its interest in the Partnership and its share of the developer's fee.

NOTE 11 - BEECHGROVE REDEVELOPMENT, LLC

General Information

The foundation acquired an interest in Beechgrove Redevelopment, LLC during the year ended December 31, 2001. This organization was organized as a nonprofit corporation under the laws of the state of Louisiana during August 2001. The Corporation was formed for the purpose of owning and operating a rental housing

NOTE 11 - BEECHGROVE REDEVELOPMENT, LLC (CONTINUED)

project consisting of 500 units located in Westwego, Louisiana. The project is presently operating under the name of Beechgrove Redevelopment LLC and Beechgrove Redevelopment Phase II LLC. The Project is regulated by the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) as to rent charges and operating methods.

The owning corporation covenants that the Property will be maintained as affordable housing for a period of 22 years form the date of acquisition, September 28, 2001. The owning corporation also covenants that 464 dwelling units in the Property will be rented only as affordable housing for low-income families.

HUD has agreed to fund the Project Phase I a maximum of \$4,568,094 pursuant to grant agreement. The grant funds are to be used for rehabilitation of the Project. As of December 31, 2002, \$0 had been funded. HUD also agreed to fund Project Phase II a maximum of \$4,344,707 pursuant to a grant agreement. Funding for Phase II had also not been funded as of December 31, 2002.

Investment Valuation

The independent audit of Beechgrove Redevelopment, LLC for the year ended December 31, 2002 reflected total unrestricted net assets totaling \$11,178,374. The Foundation owns a 70% interest in the net assets of this LLC, amounting to \$7,824,862. The amount recorded on the financial statements of the Foundation as investment in Beechgrove Redevelopment is \$7,820,119.

NOTE 12 - NET ASSETS, OTHER ADJUSTMENTS

A Fund balance adjustment totaling \$161,207 was reported in the statement of activities and changes in net assets. This adjustment was not adequately supported by source documentation, consequently, our opinion on this balance has been modified as indicated in the Independent Auditor's Report.

NOTE 13 - LEASING ARRANGEMENTS

The Foundation conducts its activities from facilities that are leased under a five-year noncancellable operating lease expiring in May 2007. The Foundation pays no rent for the first twelve months of the lease term beginning June 2002. Thereafter, the monthly lease payment is \$1,294.09. The Foundation has the option to purchase the

NOTE 13 - LEASING ARRANGEMENTS (CONTINUED)

facility at any time during the lease term at an agreed upon price. The following is a schedule of future minimum rental payments required under the above operating lease as of December 31, 2002:

Year Ending December 31,	Amount
2003	\$ 9,059
2004	15,529
2005	15,529
2006	15,529
2007	6,470
	\$ <u>62,116</u>

NOTE 14 - CONTINGENT LIABILITIES

The Foundation is a defendant in a suit in which the Plaintiff (a former vendor) is seeking damages for breach of an equipment lease. The Foundation's attorneys feel there is probable liability on the part of the Foundation with a dispute as to the amount of damages. The Foundation has made a provision for this loss in its financial statements.

NOTE 15 - RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

The Foundation contracted with LePlace of Jefferson, A Louisiana Partnership in Commendam (LePlace), a related party, to provide social services to residents of the Jefferson Place Apartments for a fee of \$1,000 per month. The Foundation works with the tenant's organization to facilitate initiatives, including activity planning and health screenings for the tenants. The Foundation recorded \$12,000 as revenue from this activity during the year ended December 31, 2002. The Foundation also contracted with LePlace to provide asset management oversight for a fee of 1% of gross revenue collected on a monthly basis. For the period ended December 31, 2002, asset management oversight revenues totaled \$6,929.

NOTE 15 - RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS (CONTINUED)

The Foundation contracted with Beechgrove Redevelopment, LLC, a related party, to provide social services to residents of Beechgrove Apartments, Phase I & II for a fee of \$4,000 per month. Officers of the Foundation are also officers and/or board members of Beechgrove Redevelopment, LLC. The Foundation will work with the tenant's organization to facilitate initiatives, including grant submissions, activity planning, and health screenings for the tenants. For the period ended December 31, 2002, social service revenue totaled \$48,000.

The Foundation also contracted with Beechgrove to provide asset management oversight at the apartments for a fee of 1% of gross revenue collected on a monthly basis. For the period ended December 31, 2002, asset management oversight revenue totaled \$13,399.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

Jefferson Housing Foundation, Inc. Statement of Functional Expenses For the Year Ended December 31, 2002

									SUPPORT	OPERATING	TING
EXPENSE CATEGORY	,								SERVICES	EXPENSES	SES
						:		TOTAL			
			Community	Avondale &	Beechgrove	ove	LePlace				
			Development	Waggaman	Community	ıity	σť				
	CHO	CHDO Project	Block Grants	Community Service	Service	æ	Jefferson	Program	Management and		
	(See Sub	(See Subschedule 1)	(See Subschedule 2)					Services	General		
Salaries	↔	9,480	\$ 84,379	\$ 10,401	4 9	€ 7	₽	104,260	\$ 62,287	↔	166,547
Payroll Taxes		773	7,472	841				980'6	3,520		12,606
Contract Labor								1	43,425		43,425
Professional Fees		26,256	33,251	17,500		22,991	3,702	103,700	(8,691)		95,009
Office Supplies / Expense			4,427	150		15		4,592	1,305		. 5,897
Advertising								•	1,092		1,092
Lease Expense			992					992	2,396		3,388
Postage / Delivery			1,147			46		1,193	114		1,307
Printing		196	2,040					2,236	(821)		1,415
Telephone		481	6,362					6,843	7,417		14,260
inspections		255						255			255
Construction Costs		216,606	11,855					228,461	321		228,782
Property Maintenance		2,891						2,891			2,891
Repairs & Maintenance		1,733	4,794					6,527	6,483		13,010
Relocation			504					504	4,476		4,980
Supplies			1,292					1,292	515		1,807
Training/Education			80					80	009		680
Insurance			3,617					3,617	2,529		6,146
Meetings								F	45		54
Meals & Entertainment								•	148		148
Travel								•	2,424		2,424
Mileage / Parking / Tolls		200	1,360					1,560	1,905		3,465
Utilities		334	2,845	3,314				6,493	3,110		9,603
License & Permits		168						168	393		561
Property Taxes								•	244		244
Interest		2,090		2,139				4,229	3,740		7,969
Bank Charges								•	322		322
Depreciation								ı	3,498		3,498
Loss Contingency								• !	15,000		15,000
Miscellaneous		j	804	1,718				2,522	334		2,856
TOTAL	v;	261,463	\$ 167,221	\$ 36,063	\$	23,052 \$	3,702 \$	491,501	\$ 158,131	\$	649,632

See Accompanying Notes to Financial Statements.

Jefferson Housing Foundation, Inc. Statement of Functional Expenses For the Year Ended December 31, 2002

EXPENSE CATEGORY

EXPENSE CATEGORY		<u>. </u>						TOTAL
	CHDO Construction		CHDO Third Party		CHDO Administrative			Program Services
Salaries Payroll Taxes Contract Labor Professional Fees Office Supplies / Expense Advertising	\$	-	\$	- 2,915	\$	9,480 773 23,341	\$	9,480 773 - 26,256 -
Lease Expense Postage / Delivery Printing Telephone Inspections Construction Costs Property Maintenance Repairs & Maintenance		175,485 2,251		45 255 41,121 640 1,733		151 481		- 196 481 255 216,606 2,891 1,733
Relocation Supplies Training/Education Insurance Meetings Meals & Entertainment Travel				1,,,,,				
Mileage / Parking / Tolls Utilities License & Permits Property Taxes Interest Bank Charges				168 2,090		200 334		200 334 168 - 2,090
Depreciation Miscellaneous TOTAL	\$	177,736	\$	48,967	\$	34,760	<u> </u>	261,463

Jefferson Housing Foundation, Inc. Statement of Functional Expenses For the Year Ended December 31, 2002

EVECNOE	CATEGORY
EVLENOE	CALEGURI

 										TOTAL
	Home-Ownership		Business Development		Lincolnshire Rehabilitation		Lincolnshire Rehabilitation Administrative		Program Services	
Salaries	\$	54,209	\$	24,148	\$	-	\$	6,022	\$	84,379
Payroll Taxes	·	4,685		2,155		-		632		7,472
Contract Labor		15,823		14,820		1,640		968		33,251
Professional Fees		2,589		1,838		1,040		000		4,427
Office Supplies / Expense Advertising		2,569		1,000						-
Lease Expense		597		395						992
Postage / Delivery		938		209						1,147
Printing		1,332		708						2,040
Telephone		4,604		1,758						6,362
Inspections										-
Construction Costs								11,855		11,855
Property Maintenance										•
Repairs & Maintenance		1,894				2,900				4,794
Relocation		52		452						504
Supplies		1,292								1,292
Training/Education		80						•		80
Insurance		2,536		1,081						3,617
Meetings										-
Meals & Entertainment										-
Travel										4 000
Mileage / Parking / Tolls		1,350		10						1,360
Utilities		2,845								2,845
License & Permits										
Property Taxes										_
Interest										_
Bank Charges										_
Depreciation		200		400						804
Miscellaneous		636	~~	168						
TOTAL	\$	95,462	\$	47,742	\$	4,540	\$	19,477	\$	167,221

Jefferson Housing Foundation, Inc. Schedule of Federal Awards For the Year Ended December 31, 2002

Fedral Grantor/ Pass Through Grantor	CFDA	Federal Expenditures		
CDBG	14.228	\$	167,221	
HOME - Revitalization	14.239		261,463	
Total Expenditures of Federal Awards		\$	428,684	



2017 CANAL STREET, SUITE 103 NEW ORLEANS, LOUISIANA 70119 OFFICE (504) 587-9000 • FAX (504) 587-9040

REPORT ON COMPLIANCE AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

To the Board of Directors of Jefferson Housing Foundation, Inc.

We have audited the financial statements of Jefferson Housing Foundation, Inc. (a nonprofit organization) as of and for the year ended December 31, 2002, and have issued our report thereon dated June 25, 2003. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Compliance

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether Jefferson Housing Foundation's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed instances of noncompliance that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards* and which are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as items 02-1 through 02-4.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the Foundation's internal control over financial reporting in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements and not to provide assurance on the internal control over financial reporting. However, we noted certain matters involving the internal control over financial reporting and its operation that we consider to be reportable conditions. Reportable conditions involve matters coming to our attention relating to significant deficiencies in the design or operation of the internal control over financial reporting that, in our judgement, could adversely affect Jefferson Housing Foundation's ability to record, process, summarize, and report financial data consistent with the assertions of management in the financial statements. Reportable conditions are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as item 02-2.

A material weakness is a condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that misstatements in amounts that would be material in relation to the financial statements being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. Our consideration of the internal control over financial reporting would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control that might be reportable conditions, and accordingly,

would not necessarily disclose all reportable conditions that are also considered to be material weaknesses. However, we believe that all of the reportable conditions described above are material weaknesses.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the audit committee, management, others within the organization and federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Curtis A. Moret, CPA

Centre A. Morel

June 25, 2003





2017 CANAL STREET, SUITE 103 NEW ORLEANS, LOUISIANA 70119 OFFICE (504) 587-9000 • FAX (504) 587-9040

REPORT ON COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS APPLICABLE TO EACH MAJOR PROGRAM AND INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE WITH OMB CIRCULAR A-133

To the Board of Directors of Jefferson Housing Foundation, Inc.

Compliance

We have audited the compliance of Jefferson Housing Foundation, Inc. (a nonprofit organization) with the types of compliance requirements described in the "U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement" that are applicable to its major federal program for the year ended December 31, 2002. Jefferson Housing Foundation's major federal program is identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. Compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to its major federal programs is the responsibility of the Foundation's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on Jefferson Housing Foundation's compliance based on our audit.

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, "Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations." Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about Jefferson Housing Foundation's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of the Foundation's compliance with those requirements.

In our opinion, the Foundation complied, in all material respects, with the requirements referred to above that are applicable to its major federal program for the year then ended December 31, 2002.

Internal Control Over Compliance

The management of Jefferson Housing Foundation, Inc. is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to federal programs. In planning and performing our audit, we considered the Agency' internal control over compliance with requirements that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance and to test and report on internal control over

compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133.

Our consideration of the internal control over compliance would not necessary disclose all matters in the internal control that might be material weaknesses. A material weakness is a condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level risk that noncompliance with applicable requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants that would be material in relation to a major federal program being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. We noted no matters involving the internal control over compliance and its operation that we consider to be material weaknesses.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Board of Directors, management, others within the organization and federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Curtis A. Moret, CPA

tis A. Wore &

June 25, 2003



SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS	AND QUESTIONED COSTS

.

Jefferson Housing Foundation, Inc. Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended December 31, 2002

Section I - Summary of Auditor's Results

<u>Financial Statements</u>				
A qualified opinion was issued on the financial statements of the auditee.				
Internal control over financial reporting:				
Material weakness(es) identified?	X	_ yes		_ no
Reportable condition(s) identified not				
considered to be material weaknesses?	X	_ yes		_ no
Noncompliance material to financial statements noted?	X	_ yes		_ no
<u>Federal Awards</u>				
Internal control over major programs:				
Material weakness(es) identified?		yes	X	no
Reportable condition(s) identified not		_		
considered to be material weaknesses?		_ yes	X	_ no
An unqualified opinion was issued on compliance for the major program.		-		
Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in				
accordance with Circular OMB A-133, Section 510(a)?	X	_ yes		_ no
The major program for the year ended December 31, 2002 was as follows:				
CHDO Revitalization				

GRANT NAME:

HUD UPFRONT GRANT

QUESTIONED COSTS:

\$0

CONDITION:

The Foundation received a HUD "Upfront" grant in 1998 totaling \$3.4 million designated for the rehabilitation of Jefferson Place Apartments. Substantially all the federal grant amount had been expended during prior years and there were no federal expenditures related to this grant for the year ended December 31, 2002. Although rehabilitation on this project appears substantially completed and the project has been open since 1998 project closeout has not been performed, including a project closeout audit.

CRITERIA:

The Upfront grant required that a project closeout audit be performed on a timely basis upon project completion.

EFFECT:

The Foundation elected to assign the Upfront grant to a related entity for the purposes of redevelopment of the Jefferson Place Apartments. Therefore, even though the Foundation receives an annual audit that included the federal expenditures related to the grant, a complete accounting can not be accomplished until project closeout and a closeout audit is performed.

CAUSE:

We were unable to determine the cause for this condition.

RECOMMENDATION:

We recommend that the Foundation expedite the steps required to closeout the project and provide the closeout report as required.

GRANT NAME:

GENERAL ACCOUNTING

QUESTIONED COSTS:

\$0

CONDITION:

During our examination of the Foundation's financial statements we noted a fund balance entry was recorded totaling \$161,207. We were advised by the Foundation that this adjustment related to corrections of prior year items, however we were not provided with support for the entries in the fund balance.

CRITERIA:

All accounting entries recorded to the general ledger should be supported by adequate source documentation.

EFFECT:

The fund balance for the year ended December 31, 2002 contains adjustments that lack supporting documentation.

CAUSE:

We were unable to determine the cause for this condition.

RECOMMENDATION:

We recommend that the Foundation maintain supporting documentation related to all entries recorded to the general ledger.

GRANT NAME:

HOMEOWNERHSIP PROGRAM

QUESTIONED COSTS:

\$0

CONDITION:

This grant program required that Foundation to provide homeownership training to 275 participants. We reviewed the program's accomplishments and noted that 266 participants were trained.

CRITERIA:

The program required a minimum of 275 participants to receive homeownership training. In addition, adequate source documents should be maintained regarding program achievements.

EFFECT:

The Foundation did not meet the minimum program training levels.

CAUSE:

We were unable to determine the cause for this condition.

RECOMMENDATION:

We recommend that participant outreach be increased to achieve the required program participation levels.

GRANT NAME:

BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM

QUESTIONED COSTS:

\$0

CONDITION:

This grant program required the Foundation to provide education on management and technical assistance to 60 owners or developers of micro enterprises. We reviewed the program's accomplishments and noted that 34 participants received these educational services.

CRITERIA:

This program required the Foundation to provide a minimum of 60 clients with all the necessary services to conduct a Management and Technical Assistance Program for low and moderate income persons who may have been owners or developers of micro enterprises. In addition, among those minimum 60 clients, a minimum of 5 new or existing micro enterprise clients were to receive the knowledge needed to develop and implement a new or existing business venture.

EFFECT:

The Foundation did not meet the minimum program training levels.

CAUSE:

We were unable to determine the cause for this condition.

RECOMMENDATION:

We recommend that participant outreach efforts be increased substantially to achieve the required program participation levels.

JEFFERSON HOUSING FOUNDATION, INC. STATUS OF PRIOR YEAR FINDINGS DECEMBER 31, 2002

		Resolved	Unresolved
01-1	CHDO Grant Program - Related Party Transaction	X	
01-2	Community Service Grant - Allowable Costs	X	
01-3	Skills Training Program - Inadequate File Maintenance	X	
01-4	Homeownership Program - Goal Criteria	X	
01-5	General Accounting Procedures - Cost Reimbursement Statements Not Reconciled to General Ledger	X	
01-6	CHDO Grant Program - Income Verification Information	X	
01-7	HUD Upfront Grant - Closeout Audit		X
01-8	General Accounting - Unsupported Fund Balance Adjustments		X



JEFFERSON HOUSING FOUNDATION

A COMMUNITY
DEVELOPMENT
CORPORATION

Jimmie M. Woods Chairman Tisa Strickland Chief Executive Officer

02-1 HUD UPFRONT GRANT

Management Response:

Lack of funding and construction delays were just some of the unexpected problems that arouse throughout the life of the project, funded in part with said HUD Upfront Grant monies. In order to keep the project alive and progressing, management worked as best it could under the circumstances and we currently have proper management in place to ensure a successful completion of the project. We expect to conclude all development activity and accomplish final closeout within 45 days, allowing us to provide the required project closeout audit.



JEFFERSON HOUSING FOUNDATION

Jimmie M. Woods Chairman

DEVELOPMENT

CORPORATION

Tisa Strickland Chief Executive Officer

02-02 GENERAL ACCOUNTING

Management Response:

The Foundation has implemented a policy to maintain supporting documentation related to all entries recorded to the general ledger. The corrective entries made in 2002 were for prior year adjustments to which the source documentation was destroyed due to roof leakage while the Foundation was located at 2418 Westbank Expressway, Harvey, LA 70058. The adjustments made were necessary to correct several deficiencies noted on Jefferson Housing Foundation's financials.

A COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION

Jimmie M. Woods Chairman Tisa Strickland Chief Executive Officer

02-3 HOMEOWNERSHIP PROGRAM

Management Response:

Management has taken aggressive steps to ensure that it meets the participation quota outlined in the Homeownership contract with the Jefferson Parish Community Development Department. We are focusing more on marketing and outreach strategies to potential clients. In addition, we have developed new systems and hired new staff members in order to strengthen the department that is responsible for this program. Currently, we are on target for meeting our required participation level outlined in said contract.



JEFFERSON HOUSING FOUNDATION

A COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION

Jimmie M. Woods Chairman Tisa Strickland Chief Executive Officer

02-4 BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM

Management Response:

On November 21, 2002, the employee who was responsible for managing the business development program was terminate, in part, because of failure to meet the guidelines outlined in the business development contract with Community Development. Following termination of the Business Development Manager, the client participation, which was already low, leveled off even more. On March 03, 2003, the Jefferson Housing Foundation recruited another employee to manage the department, strengthened and enhanced its marketing strategies and techniques. Consequently, the Foundation is on target for meeting the required participation level outlined in said contract.